

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradonark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 2.0 Bot 1450 Absanders, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.02500.gov

APPLICATION NO	). FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,082	12/0	06/2001	Rajon M. Patel	44472A	2420
109	7590 11/20/2003			EXAMINER	
		L COMPANY	MULLIS, JEPPREY C		
		ERTY SECTION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P. O. BOX			ART CIGI	TALEKTOSEEK	
MIDLAN	D, MI 48641-	1967	1731		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/006,082	PATEL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	August 2003.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary condition.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,21 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,21 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.						
=	7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,8-20,22 and 26</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) 🗷 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)					

-2-

Serial No. 10/006,082

Art Unit 1711

The instant specification fails to provide the relationship of the various applications to which priority is claimed in the first sentence of the specification. Note that the notice published in the OG of 3-18-03 requires that if subject non-provisional applications were filed outside of a twelve month period of the filing date of the subject application, then the subject application must include a benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to an intermediate prior non-provisional application that was filed within twelve months of the filing date of the application. Applicants' specification is therefore objected to. It is suggested that claim 5 of applicants' first page of their specification after the term "application" be amended to insert the phrase --is a CIP of U.S. Serial No. 09/627,727, filed July 28, 2000, which" and delete the phrase ", now abandoned in U.S. Serial No. 09/626,727, filed July 28, 2000, now abandoned" at lines 8 and 9. The status of provisional applications is generally not recited nor is it required that the status of the provisional applications as abandoned be recited. In any case the Examiner believes the proper term for a provisional application more than one year old is "expired", not abandoned. In any case it is suggested that the term ", now abandoned" as it pertains to the provisional applications also be deleted.

All remaining rejections and/or objections follow.

Serial No. 10/006,082 Art Unit 1711

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bates et al (USP 5,352,744).

See the previous Office action at the paragraph bridging pages 3 and 4 et seq.

Applicants' arguments filed 8-28-03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicants argue that the rejection over Bates has been obviated since Bates does not disclose any type of polymer combination with the hydrogenated polymers disclosed. However Bates discloses the combination of a number of materials with his fully hydrogenated block copolymers such as ordinary styrene/diene block copolymers such as is embraced by applicants' styrene/conjugated diene interpolymer. Note Table 2 in this regard. With regard to Hoeg et al., this rejection has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment.

Serial No. 10/006,082 Art Unit 1711

Claims 2, 3, 8-20, 22 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

This Office action is not being made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc

November 14, 2003

And Controlling